PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D 18 APR 2006 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/IB2005/003800 16.12.2005 20.12.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. F02D13/02 F02D41/40 F02D35/02 F02D35/00 Applicant TOYOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI KAISHA This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European F

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx; 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 —

Date of completion of this opinion

see form PCT/ISA/210 **Authorized Officer** 

Vedoato, L

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8053



International application No. PCT/IB2005/003800

_						
	В	ox N	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	Wi	Vith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	$\boxtimes$	th	e international application in the language in which it was filed			
		a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).				
2.	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and early to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
			a sequence listing			
			table(s) related to the sequence listing			
b. format of material:						
			on paper			
		□.	in electronic form			
	C.	time	of filing/furnishing:			
			contained in the international application as filed.			
			filed together with the International application in electronic form.			
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4. Additional comments:			nal comments:			
_	Вс	x N	o. II Priority			
1.	⊠	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.				
2.	□	ha	is opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim is been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ng date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.			
3.	Ad	dditional observations, if necessary:				

International application No. PCT/IB2005/003800

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

### Re Item V.

### **Novelty**

The subject matter of claim 1 is not disclosed in its entirety by any of the available prior art documents, therefore it meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT. Same consideration apply to independent claim 7.

Dependent claims 2-6 and 8-12 meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT by virtue of their dependency.

### **Inventive Step**

The document US 6,266,957 is considered to be the nearest prior art and discloses an engine by which a valve characteristic control apparatus is foreseen, which retards the closing timing of the exhaust valve during a warming up operation.

The valve characteristic control apparatus of claim 1 differs from the mentioned prior art in that the retard amount of the closing timing is set based on the number of fuel injections. This particular feature is not known nor rendered obvious by any of the available prior art documents. Thus the subject matter of claim 1 fulfills the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT. Same consideration apply to independent claim 7.

Dependent claims 2-6 and 8-12 meet the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT by virtue of their dependency.

### **Industrial Applicability**

Industrial applicability is obviously given for all the claims.

### Re Item VII - Defects

The present application does not fulfills the requirements of Article 6 and Rule 6.1 PCT due to a lack of conciseness: the subject matter of claims 7 onwards is in fact the same subject matter which can be found in claims 1 to 6.

### Re Item VIII - Clarity

Claims 4 to 6 does not fulfill the requirements of Article 6 PCT because clarity lacks:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/003800

According to independent claim 1 the retard is set depending on the number of injections, while according to claims 1-4, which depend from claim 1, the retard amount is set on the base of different parameters, namely coolant temperature, external load and elapsed time from the engine start up. It is therefore not clear according to what parameter the retard is set.

Same considerations apply to claims 10-12.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D 18 APR 2006 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43*bis*.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/IB2005/003800 16.12.2005 20.12.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. F02D13/02 F02D41/40 F02D35/02 F02D35/00 Applicant TOYOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI KAISHA This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. Ⅰ Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐. Box No. IV Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

**)** 

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Date of completion of this opinion

see form PCT/ISA/210 Authorized Officer

Vedoato, L

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8053



International application No. PCT/IB2005/003800

_	Во	x No	o. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	Wit	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	X	the	international application in the language in which it was filed		
		a t pu	ranslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the rposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).		
<ol><li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:</li></ol>					
	a. t	a. type of material:			
	1		a sequence listing		
	İ		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format of material:					
	1		on paper		
	1		in electronic form		
	c. ti	ime	of filing/furnishing:		
	ı		contained in the international application as filed.		
•	ı		filed together with the international application in electronic form.		
	I		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theret has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
4.	Áđơ	ditio	nal comments:		
_	Bo	k No	o. II Priority		
1.	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.				
2.		has	is opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim is been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.		
3.	Ado	dition	nal observations, if necessary:		

International application No. PCT/IB2005/003800

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-12

Yes: Claims

No:

1-12

Inventive step (IS)

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

### Re Item V.

### Novelty

The subject matter of claim 1 is not disclosed in its entirety by any of the available prior art documents, therefore it meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT. Same consideration apply to independent claim 7.

Dependent claims 2-6 and 8-12 meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT by virtue of their dependency.

### **Inventive Step**

The document US 6,266,957 is considered to be the nearest prior art and discloses an engine by which a valve characteristic control apparatus is foreseen, which retards the closing timing of the exhaust valve during a warming up operation.

The valve characteristic control apparatus of claim 1 differs from the mentioned prior art in that the retard amount of the closing timing is set based on the number of fuel injections. This particular feature is not known nor rendered obvious by any of the available prior art documents. Thus the subject matter of claim 1 fulfills the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT. Same consideration apply to independent claim 7.

Dependent claims 2-6 and 8-12 meet the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT by virtue of their dependency.

### **Industrial Applicability**

Industrial applicability is obviously given for all the claims.

### Re Item VII - Defects

The present application does not fulfills the requirements of Article 6 and Rule 6.1 PCT due to a lack of conciseness: the subject matter of claims 7 onwards is in fact the same subject matter which can be found in claims 1 to 6.

### Re Item VIII - Clarity

Claims 4 to 6 does not fulfill the requirements of Article 6 PCT because clarity lacks.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/003800

According to independent claim 1 the retard is set depending on the number of injections, while according to claims 1-4, which depend from claim 1, the retard amount is set on the base of different parameters, namely coolant temperature, external load and elapsed time from the engine start up. It is therefore not clear according to what parameter the retard is set.

Same considerations apply to claims 10-12.